

SITE ASSESSMENT DECISION FORM - U.S. EPA REGION 10

EKASF
1.4
7/21/09

SITE NAME: EKA Chemicals

EPA ID # WAN001002725

ALIAS SITE NAME (S): _____

LATITUDE: 47° 7' 35.166" North

LONGITUDE: 119° 11' 35.937" West

ADDRESS: 2701 Road N Northeast

CITY: Moses Lake

COUNTY: Grant

STATE: WA

REPORT TYPE: Site Inspection REPORT DATE: April 2009 REPORT LEAD: EPA

REPORT developed by: Ecology and Environment, Inc.

USEPA SF



1319170

DECISION:

☒ 1. Further Remedial Site Assessment under CERCLA (Superfund) is not required because:

☒ 1a. Site does not qualify for further remedial site assessment under CERCLA

☐ 1b. Site may qualify for further action, but is deferred to: ☐ RCRA

(No Further Remedial Action Planned by EPA- NFRAP)

☐ NRC

☐ 2. Further Assessment Needed Under CERCLA:

2b. Activity

☐ PA

☐ ESI

☐ RDT meeting needed

☐ Combined PA/SI

☐ HRS Evaluation

☐ mini-RDT meeting needed

☐ SI

☐ Integrated Assessment

☐ Other _____

☐ Site Reassessment

☐ Removal Assessment

Discussion / Rationale: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has determined that no further action by the Federal Superfund program is warranted at the referenced site at this time. A NFRAP designation means that no additional steps under the Federal Superfund program will be taken at the site unless new information warranting further Superfund consideration or conditions not previously known to EPA regarding the site are discovered. If new or additional information becomes available suggesting that this site may warrant further consideration by the Federal Superfund program, EPA will re-evaluate this site accordingly. This NFA decision does not relieve the facility from complying with state, local or other federal requirements.

Other site-specific decisions (include date for each decision):

☒ **Archive (NFRAP Flag)**-All Federal Superfund Work Completed, i.e., no further Superfund interest (The Archive should only be used once the site is NFRAP=d for site assessment work AND no further remedial, removal, enforcement, cost recovery, or oversight activities are planned or conducted at the site. Include in site file documentation showing that removal/enforcement/cost recovery activities, if any at the site, are closed out. Email responses from the enforcement/removal programs are acceptable documentation.)

☐ **Excluded from Eligible Response Sites** (As defined by the Brownfields Law of 2002) - The EPA region, after consulting with the relevant state agency, has determined that this site is to be excluded from the definition of an eligible response site. Include in the CERCLA site file a copy of the written communications with the state and the written determination.

☐ **No Further Federal Action (NFFA)** - as defined in the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act (Brownfields Law of 2002). CERCLA Section 101(41)(C)(i) authorizes EPA to make a site which was previously excluded, because it had obtained a preliminary score sufficient for possible listing, an eligible response site again. If a site has any planned or ongoing enforcement, cost recovery or removal activities, an NFFA determination will not be allowed.

NFFA or ERS Exclusion concurrence by Ofc of Regional Counsel: _____ Date: _____

NFFA or ERS Exclusion decision made by: _____ Date: _____

Unit Manager, Site Assessment/Cleanup Unit 2

☒ FINAL ASSESSMENT DECISION (FAD)

(Check if this decision meets the following GPRA definition: NFRAP; Deferred to NRC; Referred to Removal (NFRAP); Addressed as part of another NPL site or Addressed as part of another Non-NPL site; a State deferral action is completed; an NPL listing action has occurred; or the site has been archived after some Site Assessment Action). The final decision date is the site decision date below.

Report Reviewed/Site Decision Made by: Brandon [Signature]
EPA Region 10 Site Assessment Manager

Date: 7/21/2009

Non-NPL status value

NF

See Hq reference guide

Priority ☐ Low ☐ High ☒ NFRAP**SPECIAL INITIATIVE** (Check all that apply):Environmental Justice Indicator ☐Explosives and Ordnance Site ☐Formerly Used Defense Site ☐Tribal Concerns ☐

- Sites of concern to a tribe, where no tribe plays an active role.
- All sites, regardless of where they are located in relation to tribal lands, and regardless of whether EPA, a state, or a tribe performs the assessment or has not performed any assessment to date.
- These sites are determined by the site manager and regional tribal liaisons, in discussions with the tribes.
- Check this flag at Site Discovery or at any point after that at which a tribe expresses that the site is of concern to them.

Tribal Involvement ☐

Sites that are of concern to a tribe, where a tribe plays an active role.

Tribal Land ☐

Definition from HQ needed.

OWNER/OPERATOR TYPE (check all that apply):Bank/Loan Company ☐County Owned ☐District Owned ☐Federally Owned ☐Formerly Federally Owned or Operated ☐Government Owned/Contractor Operated ☐Indian Land ☐ Definition from HQ neededMixed Ownership ☐Municipality ☐Other ☐Private ☒Privately Owned/Government Operated ☐State Owned ☐Unknown ☐**LEAD** (check one):EPA In-House ☐ e.g. report is developed by EPA employeeEPA Fund-Financed ☒ e.g. report is developed by authorized EPA contractorState Lead, EPA Fund-Financed ☐ e.g. report is developed by state under Cooperative Agmt w/ EPAState, No EPA Fund Money ☐Tribal Lead, EPA Fund Financed ☐ Tribal Governments as action lead, where a tribe plays more than an active role